

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,711	06/26/2003	Stephen D. Pacetti	50623.266	5769	
Wiston Doublin	7590 10/23/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	Victor Repkin Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.			AHMED, SHEEBA	
Suite 300 One Maritime	Dlaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco,		·	1794	÷	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/606,711	PACETTI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sheeba Ahmed	1794					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 August 2007</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10,12-20 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-10, 12-20, and 22-29 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicati	on Papers							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>								
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte					

Art Unit: 1794

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendments

1. Amendments to claims 1, 10, 12, and 20 have been entered in the above-identified application. Claims 11 and 21 are canceled. Claims 1-10, 12-20, and 22-29 are now pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 12-20, and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hossainy et al. (US 6,926,919 B1).

Hossainy et al. disclose a coating for an implantable medical device wherein the coating comprises a hydrophobic and hydrophilic component (Column 2, lines 35-40). The mass ratio between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymers in the coating can be typically between about 1:100 and 1:9 (Column 3, lines 16-21). A specific embodiment discloses drug eluting vascular stents having a coating including a blend of a hydrophobic and hydrophilic polymer and treating the coating with a stimulus for enriching a region close to the outer surface of the coating with a hydrophilic polymer

Art Unit: 1794

/Control Number: 10/000,7

such that the region of the coating close to the outermost surface of the stent has a higher content of the hydrophilic component than the hydrophobic component (Column 2, lines 12-40). The coating further comprises an optional primer layer and an optional topcoat layer (Column 2, lines 42-60). Poly(ethylene-co-vinyl alcohol) is one example and it can be used to fabricate the topcoat or primer layers as well. Other examples include the list given in Columns 3 and 4. All limitations of claims 1-10, 12-20, and 22-29 are recited in the above reference.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on August 9, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hossainy et al. (US 6,926,919 B1) and submit that Hossainy describes a coating having a layer comprising a hydrophobic polymer and a hydrophilic polymer as the outermost layer, which corresponds to the second layer of the coating defined by claim 1 of the instant application which recites a hydrophobic polymer and an amphiphilic polymer and this coating therefore, has a configuration entirely different from the coating defined by claim 1.

The Examiner disagrees. Hossainy states that the reservoir layer, the optional primer and topcoat layers of the coating can be formed on the stent by dissolving a polymer or a blend of polymers in a solvent, or a mixture of solvents, and applying the resulting polymer solution on the stent by spraying or immersing the stent in the

Art Unit: 1794

solution. Furthermore, Hossainy states that poly(ethylene-co-vinyl alcohol) (EVAL) is one example of a typical polymer that can be utilized as a hydrophobic component of the polymer blend used to fabricate the reservoir layer or the topcoat layer.

Hence, the above rejection is maintained.

### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to 5pm.

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571)272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sheeba Ahmed

October 18, 2007